

RADIATIVE TRANSFER AND FLUX THEORY

REUVEN SEGEV AND JOE GODDARD

ABSTRACT. The fundamental notions of radiative transfer, *e.g.*, Lambert's cosine rule, are studied from the point of view of flux and stress theory of continuum mechanics. For the classical case, where the radiance is distributed regularly over the unit sphere, it is shown that Lambert's rule follows from a balance law for the transfer of radiative power in each direction $\mathbf{u}$ of the sphere, together with the appropriate Cauchy postulates and the additional assumption that the corresponding flux vector field $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}$ be parallel to $\mathbf{u}$. An analogous theory is presented for the irregular case where the distribution of radiance on the sphere is given as a Borel measure.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the basic elements of radiometry from the point of view of flux and stress theory of continuum mechanics. Specifically, let x be a point on the boundary ∂R of some region R in space, let $\mathbf{u}$ be some unit vector and let θ denote the angle between $\mathbf{u}$ and the unit normal $\mathbf{n}$ to ∂R at x . Then, we recall that ignoring the dependence on the wavelength, the radiative energy flux density, the irradiance, E , out of ∂R at x is traditionally given in terms of the radiance field (radiative intensity) $I(x, \mathbf{u})$ by

$$E = \int_{S^2} I(x, \mathbf{u}) \cos \theta(\mathbf{u}) \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}), \quad (1.1)$$

where S^2 is the unit sphere containing all directions $\mathbf{u}$ and ω denotes the solid angle on the sphere. The relation

$$\frac{dE}{d\omega} = I(x, \mathbf{u}) \cos \theta \quad (1.2)$$

is usually referred to as Lambert's cosine rule (*e.g.*, [Pre65, p. 20][SH92, p. 20]). Thus, the total radiative energy flux out of R is given by

$$P = \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} I(x, \mathbf{u}) \cos \theta(\mathbf{u}) \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right] dA. \quad (1.3)$$

We show below that these traditional relations and more general expressions for the radiative energy flux follow from the theory of Cauchy fluxes

Date: September 7, 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 78A40; 78A02; 74A10.

Key words and phrases. Radiative transfer; Radiation; Radiometry; Flux; Stress; Cauchy; Singular Distribution.

supplemented with a single additional postulate. For the case where the radiance distribution at a point is a real valued function defined on S^2 , Cauchy's postulates are applied to the flux of energy in a generic direction $\mathbf{u}$ to yield a flux vector field $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}$. Alternatively, and this is the approach used later for the more general situation where the distribution at a point may be as singular as a measure, the collection of flux vector fields, $\{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}\}$, $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$, may be viewed as an infinite dimensional stress-like tensor field in the following sense. Given a point x and the unit normal vector $\mathbf{n}$ as above, the traditional stress tensor determines the 3-dimensional vector of traction on ∂R at x . In analogy, the infinite dimensional tensor corresponding to radiance determines the distribution of flux on the sphere S^2 at x , an element of an infinite dimensional vector space $C^0(S^2)$ of continuous functions on the sphere. From a different point of view, the situation is analogous to mixture theory where a flux vector field is associated with each of the constituents. It is noted that the constituents of the analogous mixture should be labeled by a continuous variable on the sphere. Thus, the approach presented here offers a local point of view to the apparent non-local character of radiometry. One should also mention that a formal derivation of radiometry can be based on the principles of electromagnetism (*e.g.*, [BW99, Ger39]), where the radiance is obtained as average of the Poynting vector.

The flux fields $\{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}\}$ have an additional property that is characteristic of radiation: $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is parallel to $\mathbf{u}$. This additional property implies the traditional law of radiometry as presented above.

Replacing the space of continuous functions $C^0(S^2)$ by the space $M(S^2)$ of Borel measures on the sphere, enables one to consider irregular radiation distributions such as monodirectional radiation. The property of radiation as described above cannot be applied directly to irregular distributions of radiation on the sphere because $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is not well defined. However, an analogous generalized formulation of the property is suggested by using some basic notions of measure theory.

2. RADIANCE FLUX FIELDS: CLASSICAL ANALYSIS

2.1. Traditional Cauchy Fluxes. Traditionally, Cauchy's flux theory considers an extensive scalar property p of regions R in the physical space which is represented here by $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is assumed that for each region $R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, there is a field $\psi_R : \partial R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the flux density of p out of R , that represents the total flux Ψ_R of p through the boundary ∂R in the form

$$\Psi_R = \int_{\partial R} \psi_R dA. \quad (2.1)$$

A collection $\{\psi_R\}$ of fields on the boundaries of all regions $R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ will be referred to as a *flux system*. Cauchy's flux theory is developed on the basis of the following assumptions.

Boundedness (Balance). Let $|R|$ denote the volume of the region R . It is assumed that there is a positive constant C such that

$$|\Psi_R| \leq C |R|. \quad (2.2)$$

The boundedness assumption above is usually motivated by a balance principle. It is assumed that the total flux of the property p out of R is equal to the rate of production of the property within R minus the rate of change of the total of p in R . Thus, let ρ be the density of p in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let s be the density of the source of p in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$\int_R \dot{\rho} dV + \int_{\partial R} \psi_R dA = \int_R s dV. \quad (2.3)$$

The last balance equation, once supplemented by appropriate boundedness assumptions for $\dot{\rho}$ and s , will imply (2.2).

It is observed that this assumption rules out irregular sources of the property such as those concentrated on surfaces, lines, or points.

Cauchy's postulate of locality. Considering the dependence of the field ψ_R on the region R , Cauchy's locality postulate implies that this dependence is of a very short range. Specifically, it states that for $x \in \partial R$, $\psi_R(x)$ depends on R only through the unit normal $\mathbf{n}(x)$ to ∂R at x . Let S^2 denote the 2-dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$ containing the collection of unit vectors. It follows that there is a function $\tau : \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi_R(x) = \tau(x, \mathbf{n}(x)). \quad (2.4)$$

Regularity. It is assumed that τ is a smooth function.

Naturally, we will refer to a flux system satisfying these assumptions as a *Cauchy Flux System*.

Cauchy's Flux Theorem. The boundedness and regularity assumptions above imply that the dependence of τ on $\mathbf{n}$ is linear. Since any linear function of $\mathbf{n}$ may be represented by an inner product with $\mathbf{n}$, it follows that for a Cauchy flux system there is a vector field $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\psi_R(x) = \tau(x, \mathbf{n}(x)) = T(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x). \quad (2.5)$$

We refer to T as the *flux vector field* associated with the property p .

Consider for example the case where the property under consideration is the radiation energy so that Ψ_R is interpreted as the total flux of radiation energy through the boundary of a region R . Then, under the foregoing assumptions, Cauchy's flux theorem implies that there is a vector field $\mathbf{q}$ such that the flux of radiation energy out of the boundary of a region R is given by (2.5). In fact, for this case, $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is the irradiation as implied by equation (1.3).

The Differential Balance Equation. Using Cauchy's theorem in the balance equation (2.3) we have

$$\int_R \dot{\rho} \, dV + \int_{\partial R} T \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dA = \int_R s \, dV. \quad (2.6)$$

Using Gauss's theorem one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} T + \dot{\rho} &= s & \text{in } R, \\ T(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) &= \psi_R(x) & \text{on } \partial R. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Remark 2.1. Let $\{\psi_R\}$ be a flux system. Assume that there is a differentiable vector field T such that for each region R , $\psi_R = T \cdot \mathbf{n}$, then,

$$\Psi_R = \int_{\partial R} \psi_R \, dA = \int_{\partial R} T \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dA = \int_R \operatorname{div} T \, dV. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows that if $\operatorname{div} T$ is bounded, the boundedness assumption (2.2) holds. This observation is an inverse to Cauchy's theorem.

For detailed, generalized and technical presentations of Cauchy's flux theory see for example [Sch07] and references cited therein.

2.2. Radiance Systems. The space of continuous real valued mappings defined on the sphere will be denoted by U . For a regular region R in space, a *radiance field* over ∂R is a mapping

$$K_R : \partial R \longrightarrow U. \quad (2.9)$$

For $x \in \partial R$ and $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$, $K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$, is interpreted as the density of radiation power per unit area at $x \in \partial R$ crossing ∂R in the direction $\mathbf{u}$. It should be emphasized that this interpretation is given here without any justification in order that the presentation is adapted to the context of radiation (see Section 2.4).

Clearly, the radiance field may be regarded as a function $K'_R : \partial R \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $K'_R(x, \mathbf{u}) = K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$. We prefer using K_R because of the generalization of Section 3.

For a given $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$, the *radiance field at the direction $\mathbf{u}$* is the function

$$K_{R,\mathbf{u}} : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad K_{R,\mathbf{u}}(x) = K_R(x)(\mathbf{u}). \quad (2.10)$$

The total emitted power density at x , the *irradiance* or *radiant emittance* is therefore given by

$$\psi_R(x) = \int_{S^2} K_R(x)(\mathbf{u}) \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{S^2} K_{R,\mathbf{u}}(x) \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}), \quad (2.11)$$

where ω is the solid angle measure. The total power emitted from ∂R is

$$P_R = \int_{\partial R} \psi_R(x) \, dA = \int_{\partial R} \left(\int_{S^2} K_R(x)(\mathbf{u}) \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right) dA. \quad (2.12)$$

Fubini's theorem implies that we can write

$$P_R = \int_{S^2} \left(\int_{\partial R} K_R(x)(\mathbf{u}) \, dA(x) \right) d\omega = \int_{S^2} P_{R,\mathbf{u}} \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}), \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$P_{R,\mathbf{u}} = \int_{\partial R} K_R(x)(\mathbf{u}) \, dA(x) = \int_{\partial R} K_{R,\mathbf{u}}(x) \, dA(x) \quad (2.14)$$

is the total power emitted from R in the direction $u \in S^2$ (*directional emissive power* in [Mah02]). It is noted that for a fixed $u \in S^2$, $P_{R,\mathbf{u}}$ is the total flux of the density $K_{R,\mathbf{u}}(x) = K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$ —a scalar flux density over ∂R .

A *radiance system* is a collection $\{K_R\}$ for all regions $R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. The basic objective of flux theory is to study the dependence of the radiance K_R on the region R .

We will say that a radiance system $\{K_R\}$ is a *Cauchy radiance system* if for each $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$, the scalar valued flux system $\{K_{R,\mathbf{u}}\}$ satisfies Cauchy's postulates. From Cauchy's theorem for scalar fluxes, it follows that for a Cauchy radiance system, for every $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$, there is a vector field, the *radiance vector field for the direction $\mathbf{u}$* ,

$$\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) = K_{R,\mathbf{u}}(x), \quad (2.15)$$

where $\mathbf{n}(x)$ is the unit normal to ∂R at x .

Using the radiance vector field, Equation (2.14) may be written as

$$P_{R,\mathbf{u}} = \int_{\partial R} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dA. \quad (2.16)$$

The existence of the radiance vector field for the direction $\mathbf{u}$ enables one to define the field $\mathbf{i}$ over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, whose value is a function over the sphere by

$$\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{n}, x)(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}. \quad (2.17)$$

We will refer to $\mathbf{i} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow U$ as a *radiation density tensor* and the expression for the power becomes

$$\begin{aligned} P_R &= \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} (\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x)) \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right] dA, \\ &= \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{n}(x), x)(\mathbf{u}) \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right] dA, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{i}$ is linear in $\mathbf{n}$ and using $\mathbf{e}_j$ to denote the j -th standard base vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$, one may define

$$\mathbf{i}_j(x) = \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{e}_j, x), \quad (2.19)$$

so that

$$\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{n}, x) = \sum_j \mathbf{i}_j(x) \mathbf{n}_j, \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
P_R &= \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} \left(\sum_j \mathbf{i}_j(x) \mathbf{n}_j \right) (\mathbf{u}) d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right] dA, \\
&= \int_{\partial R} \sum_j \mathbf{n}_j \left[\int_{S^2} \left(\mathbf{i}_j(x) \right) (\mathbf{u}) d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right] dA, \\
&= \int_{\partial R} \sum_j \mathbf{n}_j \mathbf{q}_j dA,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\mathbf{q}_j = \int_{S^2} (\mathbf{i}_j(x)) (\mathbf{u}) d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \tag{2.22}$$

are the components of the total radiation energy flux vector field $\mathbf{q}$.

Remark 2.2. It is noted that the equations above make it possible to obtain the total flux across surfaces that are not necessarily the boundaries of regions. For any smooth surface S , one has to replace the integration over ∂R by integration of S .

2.3. The Source Term and Differential Balance Equation. Using Gauss's theorem in Equation (2.16) one has

$$P_{R,\mathbf{u}} = \int_R \operatorname{div} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} dV \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
P_R &= \int_{S^2} \left[\int_R \operatorname{div} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} dV \right] d\omega, \\
&= \int_R \left[\int_{S^2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} d\omega \right] dV.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

A balance equation for the energy flux in the direction $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$ will be of the form

$$\int_R \dot{\rho}_{\mathbf{u}} dV + \int_{\partial R} K_{R,\mathbf{u}} dA = \int_R s_{\mathbf{u}} dV \tag{2.25}$$

and with Equation (2.15)

$$\int_R \dot{\rho}_{\mathbf{u}} dV + \int_{\partial R} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n} dA = \int_R s_{\mathbf{u}} dV. \tag{2.26}$$

The corresponding differential balance equation is

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} + \dot{\rho}_{\mathbf{u}} = s_{\mathbf{u}}. \tag{2.27}$$

Integration over the unit sphere will give the balance equation for the total energy.

2.4. The Basic Assumption for Radiance. It is noted that thus far very little properties of radiation were used. One could replace the sphere S^2 by some other space B so that the space U would be the collection of continuous functions on B . For example, if the space B is the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$, the space U of real valued functions on it is identical to $\mathbb{R}^3$ by identifying w_i with $w(i)$ for each $i \in B = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Clearly, continuity is insignificant in this example. Such a construction will lead us to traditional stress theory as we remark below. In fact, if we do not insist that the functions considered be real valued, the set U can be any set. We could consider a system $\{K_{R,u}\}$, for each region R and each $\mathbf{u} \in B$ and require that it satisfies Cauchy's postulates for and fixed $\mathbf{u}$. For each $\mathbf{u} \in B$, Cauchy's theorem would imply the existence of a flux vector field $\mathbf{i}_u$.

Among such theories, what characterizes radiance theory is the assumption that radiance vector field $\mathbf{i}_u$ is parallel to $\mathbf{u}$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathbf{i}_u = i_u \mathbf{u}, \quad (2.28)$$

where $i_u = |\mathbf{i}_u|$ is a positive real valued function on $\mathbb{R}^3$. It follows from Equation (2.15) that

$$K(x, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{u}) = i_u(x) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}. \quad (2.29)$$

Denoting by θ the angle between the vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{n}$,

$$K(x, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{u}) = i_u(x) \cos \theta. \quad (2.30)$$

With this basic assumption, the interpretation of $K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$ as the flux of energy flowing in the direction $\mathbf{u}$ is justified. For vectors $\mathbf{u}$ that point out of R , $K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$ is the power flux density outgoing from R and for vectors $\mathbf{u}$ that point into R , $K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$ is the incoming power density. One can also consider surfaces that need not be the boundaries of regions so that "incoming" and "outgoing" are meaningless. In such circumstances $K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$ and $K_R(x)(-\mathbf{u})$ are specified independently in order to distinguish between fields such as those generated in the middle between two equal light sources and fields where there are no light sources.

Equation (2.16) may now be written as

$$P_{R,u} = \int_{\partial R} i_u \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} dA. \quad (2.31)$$

Thus, the expression (2.18) for the power becomes

$$\begin{aligned} P_R &= \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} i_u(x) \cos \theta(\mathbf{u}) d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right] dA, \\ &= \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} i_u(x) \mathbf{u} d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) dA, \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

so that the radiation energy flux vector field is given by

$$\mathbf{q}(x) = \int_{S^2} i_u(x) \mathbf{u} d\omega(\mathbf{u}). \quad (2.33)$$

Alternatively, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} P_R &= \int_{S^2} \left[\int_{\partial R} i_{\mathbf{u}}(x) \mathbf{n} \, dA \right] \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\omega(\mathbf{u}), \\ &= \int_{S^2} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}} = \int_{\partial R} i_{\mathbf{u}}(x) \mathbf{n} \, dA \quad (2.35)$$

represents the total contribution of the radiation in the direction of $\mathbf{u}$ (cf. radiant intensity $\mathcal{I}$ in [AK96, Equation (2.1.9)]).

Comparing Equation (2.32) with traditional expositions of radiation theory, *e.g.*, [Pla59, Cha60, AK96, Mod93, Sob63], it is noted that $i_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ is the scalar radiance density, *e.g.*, $K(x, \mathbf{u})$, that they use.

Remark 2.3. In order to get some insight to the various objects defined and derived here, we compare the notions under consideration to stress theory of continuum mechanics. In continuum mechanics, the traction vector t , determined by its 3 components t_i , depends on the point x and external unit normal $\mathbf{n}$. Thus, while t is determined by the 3 components t_i the radiation field is determined but the infinite number of “components” $K_{R,\mathbf{u}}(x) = K_R(x)(\mathbf{u})$ parametrized by the direction $\mathbf{u}$.

The vector field $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}$ that induces the radiance, is thus analogous to the i -th row of the stress tensor σ , σ_i , that gives the i -th component of the traction vector by

$$t_i = \sigma_i \cdot \mathbf{n}. \quad (2.36)$$

Again, just as there are 3 such rows in the stress tensor, there are uncountably infinite row vectors $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}}$, each for any value of $\mathbf{u}$.

The property of radiation implying that the radiance flux vector for the direction $\mathbf{u}$ is parallel to $\mathbf{u}$ is therefore analogous to the property that the i -th row of the stress tensor is parallel to the i -th coordinate axis. Thus, the analogous property for stresses is that the stress matrix is diagonal.

We may now apply Green’s theorem to Equation (2.35) to obtain

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}} = \int_R \nabla i_{\mathbf{u}} \, dV. \quad (2.37)$$

Thus, the total flux out of R may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} P_R &= \int_{S^2} \left[\int_R \nabla i_{\mathbf{u}} \, dV \right] \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\omega, \\ &= \int_R \left[\int_{S^2} \nabla i_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\omega \right] dV. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

This also follows from

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{u}} = \operatorname{div} (i_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}) = \nabla i_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

Evidently, the same result could be obtained by applying Green's theorem to the second line of Equation (2.32). The differential balance equation for the radiation in the direction $\mathbf{u}$, Equation (2.27), assumes the form

$$\nabla i_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \dot{\rho}_{\mathbf{u}} = s_{\mathbf{u}}. \quad (2.39)$$

Remark 2.4. Assume that $\dot{\rho}_{\mathbf{u}} = s_{\mathbf{u}} = 0$ in the last equation. It follows that

$$\nabla i_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2.40)$$

i.e., the directional derivative in the direction $\mathbf{u}$ of the radiance in the direction $\mathbf{u}$ vanishes. This result, formulated as the conservation of $i_{\mathbf{u}}$ under translation in the direction $\mathbf{u}$, is sometimes referred to as the *fundamental theorem of radiometry* (e.g., [Boy83, pp. 18–19]).

For the differential balance equation (2.7) corresponding to the total radiation energy

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} + \dot{\rho} = s, \quad (2.41)$$

one has

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = \int_{S^2} \nabla i_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\omega. \quad (2.42)$$

Remark 2.5. From Remark 2.1, it follows that if one starts from the standard expression (2.32), then, Cauchy postulates follow for differentiable fields $i_{\mathbf{u}}$. In other words, the Cauchy postulates are both necessary and sufficient for the traditional radiation expression. Not merely some, but all differentiable radiance fields represent Cauchy radiance systems. Specifically, it follows from Equation (2.31) that

$$P_{R,\mathbf{u}} = \int_R \operatorname{div}(i_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}) \, dV \quad (2.43)$$

and so

$$|P_{R,\mathbf{u}}| \leq \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |\operatorname{div}(i_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u})(x)| |R|, \quad (2.44)$$

the boundedness expression for $P_{R,\mathbf{u}}$.

2.5. Virtual Power. Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a fixed direction in space and let $w_{\mathbf{u}} : \partial R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a field. One may consider the action

$$P_{R,\mathbf{u}}(w_{\mathbf{u}}) = \int_{\partial R} K_{R,\mathbf{u}}(x) w_{\mathbf{u}}(x) \, dA(x). \quad (2.45)$$

We interpret $P_{R,\mathbf{u}}(w_{\mathbf{u}})$ as follows. Let $W_{\mathbf{u}} : \partial R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a differentiable function that we interpret as a distribution of radiance meters over the boundary that measure the radiance in the direction $\mathbf{u}$ so that $W_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ is the density of the meters at x . The field $w_{\mathbf{u}}$ is conceived as a variation of $W_{\mathbf{u}}$. Thus, while $W_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$ should be positive by our interpretation, this limitation does not apply to $w_{\mathbf{u}}(x)$. Hence, $P_{R,\mathbf{u}}(w_{\mathbf{u}})$, is interpreted as the change of the total power of radiation propagating in the direction $\mathbf{u}$ measured by the distribution of meters under the variation $w_{\mathbf{u}}$ of the distribution. For short, we will refer to $P_{R,\mathbf{u}}(w_{\mathbf{u}})$ as the *virtual power*.

Using the radiance vector field $\mathbf{i}_u$ we may write the virtual power as

$$P_{R,u}(w_u) = \int_{\partial R} w_u(x) \mathbf{i}_u(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) dA(x). \quad (2.46)$$

The last equation may be transformed using Gauss's theorem into

$$\begin{aligned} P_{R,u}(w_u) &= \int_R \operatorname{div}(w_u \mathbf{i}_u) dV, \\ &= \int_R \nabla w_u \cdot \mathbf{i}_u dV + \int_R w_u \operatorname{div} \mathbf{i}_u dV, \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

and with Equation (2.27) we obtain

$$\int_{\partial R} K_{R,u} w_u dA + \int_R w_u (\dot{\rho}_u - s_u) dV = \int_R \nabla w_u \cdot \mathbf{i}_u dV. \quad (2.48)$$

Using the basic assumption for radiance (2.28) we finally arrive at

$$\int_{\partial R} K_{R,u} w_u dA + \int_R w_u (\dot{\rho}_u - s_u) dV = \int_R i_u \nabla w_u \cdot \mathbf{u} dV, \quad (2.49)$$

where evidently $\nabla w_u \cdot \mathbf{u}$ is the directional derivative of w_u in the direction of $\mathbf{u}$.

3. MEASURE VALUED RADIANCE

3.1. Preliminaries. In the foregoing analysis, the flux density of radiation energy is distributed continuously on the sphere S^2 . For example, for a point $x \in \partial R$, a function $K_x = K_R(x) : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ describes the distribution of radiation power, where for $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$, $K_x(\mathbf{u})$ was interpreted as the radiation density emitted in the direction of $\mathbf{u}$. It is noted that if one wishes to consider power radiated in one particular direction $\mathbf{u}_0$, a distribution such as K_x above is not general enough. One has to include mathematical objects such as the Dirac delta distribution, $\delta_{\mathbf{u}_0}$, representing the emission of power in the particular direction $\mathbf{u}_0$ and which is a measure on the sphere. It is therefore assumed henceforth that the distribution of radiation, or the radiance, at $x \in \partial R$, is a Borel measure on the unit sphere. Thus, if we denote the radiance at x by J_x , for any Borel subset D of the sphere S^2 , $J_x(D)$ denotes the measure of D indicating the total power density per unit area emitted through the pencil at x subtended by D . In particular, the irradiance is given by

$$E = J_x(S^2) = \int_{S^2} dJ_x. \quad (3.1)$$

In the traditional continuous case, the measure J_x is absolutely continuous relative to the solid angle measure, or area measure on the unit sphere, so that there is a Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$K_x = \frac{dJ_x}{d\omega}, \quad K_x : S^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$J_x(D) = \int_D K_x d\omega. \quad (3.3)$$

(See for example [Pre65, Arv95] who postulate the continuity requirement right from the start.) As before, the function $K_x(\mathbf{u})$ indicates the radiance at x out of R in the direction of $\mathbf{u}$ and the total density of power, emitted at x in all directions is

$$\int_{S^2} K_x d\omega. \quad (3.4)$$

We will denote by M the vector space of Borel measures on the unit sphere, and so, $J_x \in M$ (in comparison with $K_x \in U$). The vector space operations are naturally defined in M by $(aJ_x + a'J'_x)(D) = aJ_x(D) + a'J'_x(D)$. In addition, one can define a norm on M providing it with the structure of a Banach space.

It is recalled that the space of Borel measures M may be identified with the dual space U^* of the space U of continuous real valued mappings on the sphere. Specifically, the measure J_x may be regarded as a continuous and linear functional $J'_x : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that "

$$J'_x(v) = \int_{S^2} v(\mathbf{u}) dJ_x(\mathbf{u}). \quad (3.5)$$

The expression above is interpreted as the virtual power density at x for the change of distribution of radiance meters v at x . In the sequel, we will not distinguish in the notation between the measure and the linear functional it induces. In terms of this action, a natural norm on M is given by

$$\|J_x\| = \sup_{v \in U} \frac{J_x(v)}{\|v\|}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\|v\| = \max_{\mathbf{u} \in S^2} |v(\mathbf{u})|$.

3.2. Measure Valued Radiance Systems and Radiance Tensors. In analogy with the definition of the radiance field over ∂R in (2.9), the basic assumption is that for every region $R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ there is a smooth function, the *measure valued radiance*,

$$J_R : \partial R \longrightarrow M. \quad (3.7)$$

The collection $\{J_R\}$, for all regions R is a *measure valued radiance system*.

For a given measure valued radiance system and an element $v \in U$, let $\{J_{R,v}\}$ be the flux system such that

$$J_{R,v}(x) = J_R(x)(v) = \int_{S^2} v d(J_R(x)). \quad (3.8)$$

i.e., the action of $J_R(x)$ on v is the action of a continuous linear functional on a continuous function defined on the sphere. Similarly, one can evaluate the integral of the measure $J_R(x)$ over any integrable Borel subset $D \subset S^2$ to yield

$$J_R(x)(D) = \int_D d(J_R(x)). \quad (3.9)$$

In analogy with Section 2.2 we now assume that for each fixed $v \in U$, the measure valued radiance systems $\{J_{R,v}\}$ satisfies Cauchy's postulates. Let $v \in U$ be a fixed distribution. It follows from Cauchy's theorem for scalar valued flux systems that there is a vector field $\mathbf{I}_v = \sum_i \mathbf{I}_{vi} \mathbf{e}_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$J_{R,v}(x) = J_R(x)(v) = \mathbf{I}_v(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) = \sum_i \mathbf{I}_{vi}(x) \mathbf{n}_i(x). \quad (3.10)$$

for any $x \in \partial R$. It follows from Equation (3.10) that $\mathbf{I}_v$ and $\mathbf{I}_{vi}$ depend on $v \in U$ continuously and linearly. As $\mathbf{I}_{vi}(x)$ are real, there are three elements $\mathbf{I}_i(x) \in M$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{vi}(x) = \mathbf{I}_i(x)(v)$. Hence,

$$J_{R,v}(x) = \sum_i \mathbf{n}_i(x) \mathbf{I}_i(x)(v) = \sum_i \mathbf{n}_i(x) \int_{S^2} v \, d(\mathbf{I}_i(x)). \quad (3.11)$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{I}_i(x) \in M$ are the three flux distributions at x corresponding to the area elements which are perpendicular to the standard base vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Using the notation $L(\mathbb{R}^3, M)$ for the space of linear mappings $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow M$ (which are also continuous as they are defined on a finite dimensional space), one can now define the mapping

$$\mathbf{I} : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^3, M) \cong M \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (3.12)$$

by

$$(\mathbf{I}(x)(\mathbf{n}))(v) = \mathbf{I}_v(x) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sum_i \mathbf{n}_i(x) \mathbf{I}_i(x)(v). \quad (3.13)$$

Observe that for any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \mathbf{I}_i(x) \mathbf{n}_i &= \mathbf{I}(x)(\mathbf{n}), \\ &= \mathbf{I}(x) \left(\sum_i \mathbf{n}_i \mathbf{e}_i \right), \\ &= \sum_i \mathbf{n}_i \mathbf{I}(x)(\mathbf{e}_i), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

so that $\mathbf{I}_i(x) = \mathbf{I}(x)(\mathbf{e}_i) \in M$. Thus, we may introduce the notation

$$\mathbf{I} = \sum_i \mathbf{I}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i, \quad (3.15)$$

and write

$$J_R = \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \left(\sum_i \mathbf{I}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \right) \cdot (\mathbf{n}_j \mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_i \mathbf{I}_i \mathbf{n}_i = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{n}). \quad (3.16)$$

The infinite dimensional tensor $\mathbf{I}$ will be referred to as the *measure valued radiance tensor*. In particular, for any Borel integrable set D ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_R(x)(D) &= \sum_i \mathbf{I}_i(x)(D) \mathbf{n}_i(x) = \mathbf{I}(x)(D) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x), \\ &= \sum_i \mathbf{n}_i(x) \int_D d(\mathbf{I}_i(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

In the case where the measures $\mathbf{I}(x)$ may be represented by densities relative to the solid angle measure ω on the sphere, one has

$$d\mathbf{I}(x) = \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{n}, x) d\omega \quad (3.18)$$

where $\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{n}, x)$ is the radiance density tensor.

Using the measure valued radiance tensor, the total energy flux out of a region R is given as

$$\begin{aligned} P_R &= \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} \mathbf{n}(x) \cdot d(\mathbf{I}(x))(\mathbf{u}) \right] dA(x), \\ &= \int_{\partial R} \left[\int_{S^2} d(\mathbf{I}(x))(\mathbf{u}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) dA(x), \\ &= \int_{\partial R} \mathbf{I}(x)(S^2) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) dA(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where,

$$\mathbf{I}(x)(S^2) = \sum_i \mathbf{I}_i(x)(S^2) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \quad (3.20)$$

and $\mathbf{I}_i(x)(S^2)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, represent the total measures of the sphere. The energy flux vector field $\mathbf{q}$ is therefore given by

$$\mathbf{q}(x) = \int_{S^2} d(\mathbf{I}(x))(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{I}(x)(S^2). \quad (3.21)$$

3.3. Measure Valued Radiance Tensors: Totals Approach. We present below an alternative derivation of the measure valued radiance tensor in terms of a total radiation distribution corresponding to a region R . In order to formulate the theory, one needs to use integration and differentiation of functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$ valued in a Banach space—the space M in our case. (See for example [Lan69, Chap. V] or [Die60, Chap. VIII] for some details on calculus of functions valued in Banach spaces.)

3.3.1. The Analogs of Cauchy's Postulates. As in the definition of the radiance field over ∂R in (3.7), the basic assumption is that for every region $R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ there is a smooth function, the measure valued radiance, $J_R : \partial R \rightarrow M$. The *total* of the radiation corresponding to the region R is defined as the distribution

$$\Phi_R = \int_{\partial R} J_R dA \in M, \quad (3.22)$$

where we integrate the measures $J_R(x)$ over ∂R . In other words, for each measurable subset $D \subset S^2$, $\Phi_R(D)$ is the total flux of energy flowing in the directions included in the pencils determined by D from all points in ∂R .

In case Φ_R is absolutely continuous relative to the solid angle measure on the sphere, the directional emissive power $P_{R,u}$ is given by the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$P_{R,u} = \frac{d\Phi_R}{d\omega}(u). \quad (3.23)$$

The following assumptions are made in analogy with Section 2.1.

Locality: It is assumed that for a point $x \in \partial R$, $J_R(x)$ depends on R through the outwards pointing normal $\mathbf{n}(x)$ to ∂R at x .

It follows that there is a function

$$J : \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2 \longrightarrow M, \quad (3.24)$$

to which we will refer as the Cauchy mapping, such that

$$J(x, \mathbf{n}(x)) = J_R(x) \quad (3.25)$$

for any region R such that $x \in \partial R$ and $\mathbf{n}(x)$ is the outwards pointing normal to R at x .

Using the Cauchy mapping we may rewrite the total as

$$\Phi_R = \int_{\partial R} J(x, \mathbf{n}(x)) dA. \quad (3.26)$$

Boundedness (Balance): We assume that there is a positive number C such that

$$\|\Phi_R\| \leq C |R|, \quad (3.27)$$

where $\|\Phi_R\|$ is the norm in M of the total.

Regularity: It is assumed that the Cauchy mapping J is smooth.

3.3.2. The Analog of Cauchy's Theorem. We outline a sketch of the proof of Cauchy's theorem for the current settings. Consider an infinitesimal tetrahedron containing the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$, A_α are the areas of the faces of the tetrahedron and $\mathbf{n}_\alpha$ are the unit normals to the faces. Thus, Equation (3.26) assumes the form

$$\Phi_R = \sum_{\alpha} J(x, \mathbf{n}_\alpha) A_\alpha, \quad (3.28)$$

and the boundedness assumption (3.27) implies that

$$\|\Phi_R\| = \left\| \sum_{\alpha} J(x, \mathbf{n}_\alpha) A_\alpha \right\| \leq C |R|. \quad (3.29)$$

Since $\sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{n}_\alpha A_\alpha = 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{n}_0 = - \sum_{p=1}^3 \frac{A_p}{A_0} \mathbf{n}_p, \quad (3.30)$$

which implies

$$\left\| J\left(x, -\sum_{p=1}^3 \frac{A_p}{A_0} \mathbf{n}_p\right) + \sum_{p=1}^3 J(x, \mathbf{n}_p) \frac{A_p}{A_0} \right\| \leq C \frac{|R|}{A_0}. \quad (3.31)$$

As the size of the tetrahedron approaches zero, the right-hand side of the last equation tends to zero. Taking the limit, it follows that the left-hand side of the last equation vanishes. Since for any norm on M , $\|J_0\| = 0$ implies that $J_0 = 0 \in M$, we obtain

$$J\left(x, -\sum_{p=1}^3 \frac{A_p}{A_0} \mathbf{n}_p\right) = -\sum_{p=1}^3 \frac{A_p}{A_0} J(x, \mathbf{n}_p). \quad (3.32)$$

The last equation implies that the dependence of J on its second argument $\mathbf{n}$ is linear.

We conclude that there is a field

$$\mathbf{I} : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^3, M) \quad \text{given by} \quad \mathbf{I}(x)(\mathbf{n}) = J(x, \mathbf{n}). \quad (3.33)$$

Using the mapping $\mathbf{I}$, one can write the analog of Cauchy's formula as

$$\mathbf{I}(x)(\mathbf{n}) = J_R(x) \quad (3.34)$$

for every region R such that $\mathbf{n}$ is the outwards pointing normal to ∂R at x . Hence, $\mathbf{I}$ is identical to the measure valued radiance tensor of (3.13).

Using the measure valued radiance tensor, the irradiance, the total of the radiation may be written as

$$\Phi_R = \int_{\partial R} \mathbf{I}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) \, dA \in M. \quad (3.35)$$

Using the Gauss theorem for the measure valued field $\mathbf{I}$ we may rewrite Equation (3.35) as

$$\Phi_R = \int_R \operatorname{div} \mathbf{I} \, dV. \quad (3.36)$$

The measure Φ_R may be integrated over the sphere (or any other Borel measurable subset thereof) to give the total power

$$P_R = \int_{S^2} d\Phi_R = \int_{S^2} d\left(\int_{\partial R} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{n})(x) \, dA\right). \quad (3.37)$$

3.4. The Basic Assumption of Radiation for Measure-Valued Radiation Tensors. The basic assumption for radiance theory should be generalized so that it applies to the measure valued radiance tensor. The assumption cannot be formulated as in (2.28) because in the general case one cannot assume that the vector density $\mathbf{i}_u$ exists. Nevertheless, the basic constitutive assumption may be generalized as follows.

We recall that a measure μ , viewed as a continuous, linear functional acting on continuous functions, may be multiplied by an integrable function ϕ to yield the measure $\phi \odot \mu$ defined by

$$(\phi \odot \mu)(f) = \mu(\phi f), \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \int f d(\phi \odot \mu) = \int f \phi d\mu. \quad (3.38)$$

In addition, the Radon-Nykodim theorem implies that if there is a positive measure λ such that $\lambda(D) = 0$ implies that $\mu(D) = 0$, then there is an integrable function, the Radon-Nykodim derivative

$$f = \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}, \quad (3.39)$$

such that $\mu = f \odot \lambda$.

We now use a procedure as in [Edw65, pp. 236–239]. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ which is kept fixed in this paragraph, consider the positive measure

$$|\mathbf{I}(x)| \equiv |\mathbf{I}|(x) := \left[\sum_j \mathbf{I}_j^2(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{where,} \quad [\mathbf{I}_j^2(x)](D) = [\mathbf{I}_j(x)(D)]^2. \quad (3.40)$$

Clearly, for each $j = 1, 2, 3$, $|\mathbf{I}_j(x)(D)| \leq |\mathbf{I}(x)(D)|$ for every measurable subset D . It follows from the Radon-Nikodym theorem that for each j there is an integrable function $\widehat{\mathbf{I}}_j(x)$ defined on S^2 such that

$$\mathbf{I}_j(x) = \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_j(x) \odot |\mathbf{I}(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_j^2(x)(\mathbf{u}) = 1 \quad (3.41)$$

for almost all $\mathbf{u} \in S^2$ relative to the measure $|\mathbf{I}(x)|$. For example, for any measurable subset D ,

$$\int_D d(\mathbf{I}_j(x)) = \int_D \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_j(x) d|\mathbf{I}(x)|. \quad (3.42)$$

One may define the vector function $\widehat{\mathbf{I}}(x) : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ on the sphere by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}(x)(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_j \widehat{\mathbf{I}}_j(x)(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{e}_j \quad (3.43)$$

and so

$$\mathbf{I}(x) = \sum_j \mathbf{I}_j(x) \mathbf{e}_j = \widehat{\mathbf{I}}(x) \odot |\mathbf{I}(x)|, \quad (3.44)$$

where $\odot$ in the equation above indicates the product of the measure $|\mathbf{I}(x)|$ by the vector valued integrable function $\widehat{\mathbf{I}}(x)$. In other words, all the singularities of the measures $\mathbf{I}_j(x)$ are included in $|\mathbf{I}(x)|$ and the “unit vector” $\widehat{\mathbf{I}}(x)$ distributes them to the various directions.

The basic assumption of radiation theory may be formulated simply as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}}(x)(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}. \quad (3.45)$$

In other words, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ there is a scalar measure $|\mathbf{I}(x)|$ such that

$$\mathbf{I}(x) = \iota \odot |\mathbf{I}(x)|, \quad (3.46)$$

where

$$\iota : S^2 \rightarrow S^2 \quad (3.47)$$

is the identity mapping on the sphere. Again, $\odot$ on the right-hand side of Equation (3.46) is the product of a vector (or a sphere)-valued function and a measure.

It follows from Equations (3.13–3.15) that

$$J(x, \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{I}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n} = |\mathbf{I}(x)| \odot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}). \quad (3.48)$$

Using Equation (3.48) the total distribution may be written now as

$$\Phi_R = \int_{\partial R} |\mathbf{I}| \odot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \, dA, \quad (3.49)$$

and using Gauss's theorem

$$\Phi_R = \int_R \sum_j \frac{\partial |\mathbf{I}|}{\partial x_j} \odot \mathbf{u}_j \, dV = \int_R (\nabla |\mathbf{I}|) \odot \mathbf{u} \, dV, \quad (3.50)$$

where the gradient of the measure valued function $|\mathbf{I}|$ is used as well as the notation $(\nabla |\mathbf{I}|) \odot \mathbf{u} = \sum_j \frac{\partial |\mathbf{I}|}{\partial x_j} \odot \mathbf{u}_j$.

For any measurable $D \subset S^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D d(\mathbf{I}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}) &= \int_D d(|\mathbf{I}(x)| \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}), \\ &= \int_D \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d|\mathbf{I}(x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

In the classical case where $d|\mathbf{I}(x)| = i_{\mathbf{u}}(x)d\omega$ we revert to

$$\int_D d(\mathbf{I}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}) = \int_D \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, i_{\mathbf{u}}(x)d\omega. \quad (3.52)$$

REFERENCES

- [AK96] L.A. Apresyan and Y.A. Kravtsov. *Radiation Transfer, Statistical and Wave Aspects*. Gordon and Breach, 1996.
- [Arv95] J.R. Arvo. *Analytic Methods for Simulated Light Transport*. PhD thesis, Yale University, 1995.
- [Boy83] R.W. Boyd. *Radiometry and the Detection of Optical Radiation*. Wiley, 1983.
- [BW99] M Born and E Wolf. *Principles of Optics*. Cambridge University Press, 7 edition, 1999.
- [Cha60] S Chandrasekhar. *Radiative Transfer*. Dover, 1960.
- [Die60] J. Dieudonne. *Foundations of Modern Analysis*. Academic Press, 1960.
- [Edw65] R.E. Edwards. *Functional Analysis: Theory and Applications*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1965.
- [Ger39] A. Gershun. The light field. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 18:51–151, 1939.
- [Lan69] S. Land. *Analysis II*. Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [Mah02] J.R. Mahan. *Radiation Heat Transfer: A Statistical Approach*. Wiley, 2002.
- [Mod93] M E Modest. *Radiative Heat Transfer*. McGraw-Hill, 1993.
- [Pla59] M Planck. *The Theory of Heat Radiation*. Dover, 1959.
- [Pre65] R.W. Preisendorfer. *Radiative Transfer on Discrete Spaces*. Pergamon, 1965.

- [Sch07] F. Schuricht. A new mathematical foundation for contact interactions in continuum physics. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 184:495—551, 2007.
- [SH92] R. Siegel and J.R. Howell. *Thermal Radiation Heat Transfer*. Hemisphere, 1992.
- [Sob63] V V Sobolev. *A Treatise on Radiative Transfer*. Van Nostrand, 1963.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA, ISRAEL; DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL AND AEROSPACE ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, U.S.A.